



# Evaluation and experience of a newly established national navigational bronchoscopy service in Scotland

Millar FR<sup>1</sup>, Giavedoni S<sup>1</sup>, McCafferty JB<sup>1</sup>, Marshall ADL<sup>1</sup>

WCBIP meeting, Marseille, 6-9<sup>th</sup> October 2022

Department of Respiratory Medicine, Royal Infirmary of Edinburgh

#### Background

- Electromagnetic navigation bronchoscopy (ENB) is a bronchoscopic technique that allows access to peripheral lung lesions not targetable with conventional flexible bronchoscopy.
- There are very few published performance/safety reports of ENB use in the UK.
- We report our initial experience of ENB in a UK teaching hospital servicing patients from across Scotland.

### Methods

- We evaluated diagnostic performance and safety outcomes from the first 70 cases of ENB from a newly established national ENB service.
- All procedures were performed on an outpatient basis under conscious sedation using an Olympus 1T bronchoscope and the Medtronic SuperDimension<sup>™</sup> navigation system.
- Sampling techniques including biopsy, needle aspiration, endobronchial brushing and endobronchial washing were performed at the discretion of the operator.

# Results

Table 3.

True positive

False positive

True negative

False negative

Diagnostic (TP+TN/total)

Table 1.	Patient demographics
Age	69.32 +/- 11.24 (mean +/- SD)
Sex	57.1% female
Sedation	Midazolam 3 +/- 1mg (median +/- IQR) Alfentanyl 500 +/- 300mcg (median +/- IQR)

41

0 6

23

67.14%

**Diagnostic outcomes** 

FINAL DIAGNOSIS (N=41)	
Benign 5	
Other Ca 3 SCLC 2	
NOS 2 LUSC	LUAD 22

Table 2.

Pneumothorax

Minor bleeding (no intervention)

Safety outcomes

Moderate bleeding (cold saline/adrenaline)

Figure 1. Pie chart showing final diagnoses for true positive cases. LUAD - lung adenocarcinoma. LUSC - lung squamous carcinoma, NOS - not otherwise specified, SCLC small cell lung cancer.

Figure 2. Radial EBUS images demonstrating concentric (A), eccentric (B) and no lesional visualization (C). Adjusted from Lee et al 2019. Respir Res.

Table 4. (with diag	Lesion parameters nostic sampling percentage in red)
Size	32.26 +/- 14.2mm (mean +/- SD) <30mm 15/27 ( <mark>56%</mark> ) vs >30mm 27/38 ( <mark>71.1%</mark> )
Location	Proximal third 1/1 (100%) Middle third 25/34 (73.53%) Outer third 21/35 (60%)
Bronchus sign	Positive in 63/70 (90%)

Table 5.	Radial EBUS (with diagnostic sampling percentage in red)
rEBUS y/n	Without rEBUS 15/24 (62.5%) vs with 32/46 (69.6%)
Image	Concentric 16/19 (84.2%) Eccentric 9/14 (64.3%) Not visualized 1/5 (20%)
Lesion size	Without rEBUS 39.2+/-14.2mm vs with 30.1+/-12.9mm (mean+/- SD, p=0.011)

# Conclusions

- Our data demonstrate that we have successfully established a national ENB service with relatively high diagnostic yield and low complication rates. THE UNIVERSITY
- Ongoing experience and learning are expected to lead to further improvements in these parameters.





1/70 (1.43%)

1/70 (1.43%)

1/70 (1.43%)